

# Using public-key cryptosystem PolyDragon to create a PRNG based on random covers for finite groups

Viliam Hromada, Milan Vojvoda

Institute of Computer Science and Mathematics  
Slovak University of Technology  
Bratislava, Slovakia

13.05.2012, ISCAMI 2012

## Generating random bit sequences

- Generating random bit sequences is an important problem in cryptography
- There are two main concepts: RNG and PRNG
- It is impossible to prove whether PRNG is truly „random“, therefore we need statistical testing
- One is always looking for new and better methods of generating random sequences

# Cornerstone - MSTg

- In 2011 a new PRNG was proposed (Marquadt, P, et.al) based on random covers for finite groups - MSTg

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a finite abstract group. Suppose that  $\alpha = [A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s]$  is an ordered collection of subsets  $A_i \subseteq G$ . We call  $\alpha$  a *cover* for  $G$  if each element  $g \in G$  can be expressed in at least one way as a product of the form

$$g = g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot \dots \cdot g_s \quad (1)$$

for  $g_i \in A_i$ .

# Induced mappings

## Definition

Let  $\alpha = [A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s]$  be a cover of a finite group  $G$ . Then the vector  $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s)$  where  $r_i = |A_i|$  is called the *type* of  $\alpha$ .

## Definition

Let  $G$  be an abstract finite group and let  $\alpha = [A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s]$  be its random cover. Let  $m = \prod_{i=1}^s |A_i|$ . Then we can define the surjective mapping

$$\check{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow G$$

$$\check{\alpha}(x) := a_{1,j_1} \cdot a_{2,j_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{s,j_s}$$

which, we say, is the mapping  $\check{\alpha}$  induced by random cover  $\alpha$ .

# MSTg - Function F

## Definition

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two chosen finite groups, with  $|G_1| = n$  and  $|G_2| = m$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a random cover of  $G_1$  and  $\gamma$  be a random cover of  $G_2$ . Let  $\check{\alpha}, \check{\gamma}$  be their respective induced mappings. Let  $\ell$  be an integer such that  $\ell \geq n$ . We define a function

$$F : \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$$

as a composition of mappings:

$$F : \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\check{\alpha}} G_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbb{Z}_n \xrightarrow{\check{\gamma}} G_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathbb{Z}_m$$

# MSTg algorithm

- **Input:** Integers  $\ell, m$ , function  $F : \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$  as defined before and random and secret seed  $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$
  - **Output:**  $t$  pseudorandom numbers  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t \in \mathbb{Z}_m$
- 1 For  $i$  from 1 to  $t$  do following:
    - 1  $s_i = (s_{i-1} + 1) \pmod{\ell}$
    - 2  $z_i = F(s_i)$
  - 2 Return  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t)$ .

## Ok, and what next?

- The security and the properties of the output sequences of the MSTg generator relies heavily on the generated random covers (i.e. on the function  $F$ ).
- The design of MSTg allows great flexibility in generating the random covers
- We have decided to generate the covers by using the public-key cryptosystem PolyDragon

## Public-key cryptography

- You use it without knowing it :)
- Imagine a regular metal letterbox
- Who can throw letters into it?
- Who can open it?
- Analogy with public-key cryptography

# PolyDragon

- PolyDragon is a multivariate public-key encryption scheme proposed in 2009 (Singh, R. P., et.al.)
- Its security is based on the MQ-problem
- Its design is based on permutation polynomials over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ .

# PolyDragon - Public-key

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  be a finite field. Then for each element  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  there exists exactly one element  $v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  such that the equation

$$(u^{2^m} + u + \alpha)^{2^m} (v + v^2 + \gamma) + u(u^{2^m} + u + \alpha)(v + v^2 + \gamma) + (u^{2^m} + u + \alpha)(v + v^2 + \gamma)^{2^m} + \text{Tr}(v)(u^{2^m} + u + \alpha)(v + v^2 + \gamma) = 0$$

holds, where  $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

# PolyDragon - Public-key

We can easily identify the field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with the field  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Therefore we can substitute:

- $u$  by a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- $v$  by a vector  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$
- $Tr(v) = \zeta_y$

By doing this, we obtain the final public-key, which is used in the cryptosystem.

$$\sum a_{lij} x_i x_j y_k + \sum b_{lij} x_i x_j + \sum (c_{lij} + \zeta_y) x_i y_j + \sum (d_{lk} + \zeta_y) y_k + \sum (e_{lk} + \zeta_y) x_k + f_l = 0,$$

where  $1 \leq l \leq n$  and  $a_{lij}, b_{lij}, c_{lk}, d_{lk}, e_{lk} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ .

## Generating random covers

- We have used PolyDragon cryptosystem in CTR mode to generate random covers
- Each time we generated a random cover we initialized the PolyDragon with different values of  $\alpha, \gamma$ .
- A random seed was chosen which then was used as a nonce in the CTR mode

## Testing approach

Our testing proceeded as follows:

- We have used 3 different test suites: NIST, Diehard, AIS-31
- We tested 3 configurations of MSTg:
  - 257/129, 2 covers
  - 129/65, 3 covers
  - 129/65, 4 covers
- For each configuration we generated 50 sequences of length 1 MB
- For each configuration we generated 5 sequences of length 10 MB
- Software for statistical testing - Paranoya 2011 (c) UIM FEI STU

## First construction

- $n = 257, m = 129$
- Random covers  $\alpha, \gamma$
- 10 MB sequences:
  - AIS-31: 60% success rate
  - DIEHARD: 60% success rate
- 1 MB sequences:
  - NIST: 78% success rate
  - 2 tests have not been passed at all by all sequences!
  - Generator has not passed the test

## Second construction

- $n = 129, m = 65$
- Random covers  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \gamma$
- 10 MB sequences:
  - AIS-31: 100% success rate
  - DIEHARD: 60% success rate
- 1 MB sequences:
  - NIST: 96% success rate
  - The highest fail rate was 8%
  - Generator has not passed the test

## Third construction

- $n = 129, m = 65$
- Random covers  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma$
- 10 MB sequences:
  - AIS-31: 100% success rate
  - DIEHARD: 80% success rate
- 1 MB sequences:
  - NIST: 98% success rate
  - The highest fail rate was 8%
  - Generator has not passed the test

# Conclusion

- We have constructed a PRNG by combining two interesting designs
- Unfortunately, the tests rejected the hypothesis that the generator is a „good“ PRNG
- However, there's still hope...

# Bibliography I



Singh, R.P., et.al

PolyDragon: An Efficient Multivariate Public-key Cryptosystem.

*Journal of Mathematical Cryptology*, 4:365–373,2009.



Marquardt, P., et. al.

Pseudorandom number generators based on random covers for finite groups.

*Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 0925-1022:1–12, 2011.  
2000.